



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,943	09/30/2003	Dharma R. Konda	QN1067.US	5878

7590

05/18/2006

Tejinder Singh  
Klein, O'Neil & Singh, LLP  
Suite 510  
2 Park Plaza  
Irvine, CA 92614

EXAMINER
----------

ALPHONSE, FRITZ

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2133

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/674,943

Applicant(s)

KONDA ET AL.

Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit

2133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner *for the abstract is not on a separate sheet.* @
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1, 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Objections*

1. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: the word intergrity in lines 2 and is incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brant (U.S. Pat. No. 6,763,398) in view of Glider (U.S. Pat. No. 5,469,453).

As to claims 7, 13 and 14, Brant (figs. 3-8) discloses a system for performing data integrity process, the system includes an adapter in a RAID controller (310) that is coupled to a host (320) on one side and a storage media (210) on another side. The system comprises a CRC logic that can perform data integrity process (col. 4, lines 65 through col. 5 line 19; col. 6, lines 10-21)

Brant differs from claim 14 in that he does not explicitly disclose using one of plural CRC modes including append, validate and keep, and validate and remove mode. However, this limitation is well known in the art, as evidenced by Glider (col. 9, lines 36-44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Brant's Modular Raid Controller with data corrections devices, as disclosed by Glider. Doing so would provide a way to detect and, where possible, correct data

Art Unit: 2133

errors resulting from the failure to write data to one or more mass storage devices out of a set of such devices expected to receive data during a write operation (col. 3, lines 49-53).

As to claims 8-9 and 15-16, Brant discloses a system, wherein a CRC engine determines the CRC for each data block and CRC seed value is incremented for each data block such that each data block has a unique CRC value; and, wherein each data block has a CRC value and an optional field where custom information may be added (col. 4, lines 17-37; col. 5, lines 65 through col. 6 line 9).

As to claims 10-12 and 17-19, the claims have substantially the limitations of claims 14-16; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claims 14-16 above.

As to claim 20, Brant does not explicitly disclose a CRC logic is functionally coupled to a PCI and/or PCI-X interface. However, the limitation is obvious and very well known in the art, as evidenced by Apisdorf (fig. 5, col. 11, lines 60-63).

As to claims 1-4, method claims 1-4 correspond to apparatus claims 14-16; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claims 14-16 above.

As to claims 5-6, method claims 5-6 correspond to apparatus claims 8-9; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claims 8-9 above.

### ***Conclusion***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:** (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Art Unit: 2133

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit 2133

May 11, 2006



GUY LAMARRE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER